

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/731,421 12/09/2003                       |                    | Mohan Krishnan       | 279.650US1          | 3925             |  |
| 21186                                       | 7590 04/22/2005    |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|   | AN, LUNDBERG, WOES | SMITH, TERRI L       |                     |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 2938<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938 |                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                    |                      | 3762                |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |    | _ |   |   |
|--|----|---|---|---|
|  |    |   | • |   |
|  | r. | • |   | 4 |
|  |    |   | - |   |
|  |    |   |   |   |

| •   | Application No.                              | Applicant(s)                |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/731,421                                   | KRISHNAN ET AL.             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                                     | Art Unit                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Terri L. Smith                               | 3762                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | ·  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 De  | <u>ecember 2003</u> .                        |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| , —   | action is non-final.                         |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45             | 53 O.G. 213.                |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.  | vn from consideration.                       |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) ∐ Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  | atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 20, drawn to a lead, classified in class 607, subclass 115.
  - II. Claims 21 23, drawn to a method, classified in class 607, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I (apparatus) and Group II (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

  (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process not involving implanting the lead in the left chamber, but implanting the lead in the right chamber.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1-4 of different ways the lead passively prevents clots, represented by the lead being treated so as to form a pseudo-intimal layer, the lead seeded with endothelial cells or stem cells, the lead including a phospholipids polymer, or the lead includes an amino acid sequence, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,421

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Peter Maki on Tuesday, April 12, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/731,421

Art Unit: 3762

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terri L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-7146. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, between 7:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS
April 15, 2005
15 April Cap

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4